UC San Diego Extension students with learning disabilities typically have average-to-superior ability, yet experience marked difficulty in one or more academic areas as a result of a significant information processing disorder. To be considered a disability that warrants accommodation, the disorder must limit a major life activity.

I. Documentation of Learning Disabilities

It is the responsibility of each student who requests services from UC San Diego Extension to provide a comprehensive written evaluation of his/her learning disability. To verify the student’s eligibility under Federal, State and/or University requirements and to document his/her need for reasonable accommodations and support services, this evaluation must demonstrate fulfillment of the following requirements:

A. Testing Must Be Comprehensive

It is not acceptable to administer only one test in making a diagnosis. The domains to be addressed must include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Aptitude

The *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS-III or WAIS-IV)* with scaled scores and percentiles and/or the *Woodcock-Johnson Psycho-Educational Battery-Revised (WJ-III or WJ-R): Part 1, Tests of Cognitive Ability* (with standard scores and percentiles) are the preferred instruments.
2. Achievement
The student's current levels of functioning in reading, mathematics, and written language must be assessed under timed and untimed conditions as appropriate to corroborate underachievement in specific academic areas.

Acceptable instruments include:
(a) the *Woodcock-Johnson Psycho-Educational Battery: Tests of Achievement (WJ-III)* or,

(b) specific achievement tests like the *Nelson-Denny Reading Test, Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests-Revised*. (The *Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT-3)* is not a comprehensive measure of achievement and, therefore, is not suitable by itself.)

Additional formal and informal tests as well as observations may be integrated with the above assessments to assist in determining the presence of a learning disability and differentiating from co-existing disorders.

3. Information Processing
Specific areas of information processing (for example, short- and long-term memory, reasoning, sequential memory, auditory and visual processing, and processing speed) must be assessed. Use of subtests from the *WAIS-III, WAIS-IV* and/or the cognitive portion of the *Woodcock-Johnson Psycho-Educational Battery (WAIS III)* is acceptable. Additional testing such as the *Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS-III or WMS-IV)* or the *Learning Efficiency Test-II*, designed to assist in corroborating the existence of processing disorders as identified by the *WAIS-III or WAIS-IV, or the WJ-III, Tests of Cognitive Abilities* is recommended.

B. Test Instruments
The test instruments used to determine eligibility must be statistically valid and reliable, and standardized with age-appropriate norms.

C. Test Score Data
Test score data must be included in the diagnostic reports to document the basis of the diagnosis. Test data must be reported in standard scores and percentiles based on national norms. Additionally, diagnosing professionals are encouraged to report standard scores based on both age and grade norms when available (as they are for the *WJ-III*).

D. Testing Must Be Current
It is in the student's best interest to provide recent and age-appropriate documentation, as this will enable staff to determine the functional limitations requiring reasonable accommodations and support services in the academic setting. The testing should have been conducted during adulthood (18 years or older) or within three years of the student's initial request for disability-related services at the University. Written reports must include the date of testing.

The appropriateness of the most current documentation will be determined by the UC San Diego Extension Student Services Disability Coordinator, or other qualified staff member.
designated by UC San Diego Extension Student Services Director. Additional testing may be required to determine the most appropriate accommodation(s).

E. Diagnosing Professionals
The professional(s) conducting the assessment and rendering diagnoses of specific learning disabilities must be qualified to do so. Qualified professionals include licensed educational psychologists, clinical psychologists, learning disabilities specialists, and speech and language pathologists. These professionals must have expertise in learning disabilities, training in administering the tests used, and be experienced in working with adults. The diagnosing professional's name, title, signature and license number (if applicable) must be included on letterhead stationery.

F. Intake History and Presenting Concerns
A written summary of the student’s educational, medical, and family histories and presenting concerns that may relate to learning disabilities must be included in the diagnostic report. This summary should demonstrate that the student's difficulties in acquiring and using various academic skills are not the result of other factors such as sensory impairment, serious emotional disturbance, cultural differences, or insufficient instruction, but, indeed, point to a lifelong history of learning difficulties.

G. Written Report
The reports must describe the testing procedures, the instruments used to assess the individual, and interpretation of the test results related to the behavioral observations and intake history. The diagnosing professional is encouraged to use direct language in the written report, including whether a learning disability is confirmed or ruled out.

Finally, there must be clear and specific evidence and identification of the student's learning disability. Individual learning or processing differences do not, by themselves, constitute a learning disability. The determination of a learning disability is based on:

(a) an educational history,
(b) behavioral observations, and
(c) clearly specified and significant intra-cognitive and cognitive-achievement discrepancies.

To establish eligibility for accommodations under state and federal law, the documentation must show current (See Section D) functional limitations imposed by the learning disability in the academic setting, and evidence that the learning disability limits a major life activity.

II. Academic Accommodations and Support Services
University academic accommodations and support services are not intended to remediate, but are to provide students equal access by reducing the negative impact of their disabilities.

“Remediation” is defined as instruction in basic skills not acquired earlier in the educational process (for example, basic spelling), while “accommodation” refers to the provision of services that ensure equal access to a student with a learning disability (e.g. providing extended examination time for a student who processes information more slowly than other students because of a learning disability).
Academic accommodations and support services are determined on an individual basis. Each accommodation is based on functional limitations as identified in the documentation and is designed to meet a student’s needs without fundamentally altering the nature of the student’s instructional program(s) or altering any directly-related licensing requirement. Accommodations and support services are provided upon the recommendation of a Learning Disabilities Specialist. If the University’s disability specialist does not find appropriate and sufficient evidence on which to base decisions concerning accommodations and services for a student with a learning disability, the student may be referred for additional assessment (e.g. tests of intelligence, cognition/information processing, and academic achievement).

Each campus has procedures for resolving complaints or grievances regarding the provision of academic accommodations and support services.

---

1 Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and the ADA Amendments Act of 2008 are the pertinent Federal laws; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 uses the term “academic adjustments” when referring to ways of promoting instructional and programmatic access for students with disabilities. The term “reasonable accommodation and support services” is used in these Practices because it emphasizes the goal of addressing the student’s disability-related need for equal access in the academic setting.

2 Part 40, Chapter 14.2 of the California Education Code (conditions for State funding of services to disabled students) and Government Code sections 1135 and 12926 are the pertinent State law.

3 University of California Policies Applying to Campus Activities, Organizations, and Students, Section 140.0: Guidelines Applying to Non-discrimination on the Basis of Disability.